

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/705,679	MCBRIDE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mohammad Ali	2166

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Mohammad Ali.

(3) _____.

(2) Attorney, Alexander Detschel No. 50,261.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 May 2006

Time: 2:00pm

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

1, 9, 20, and 29-35

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

A telephone was made to applicant's representative about the potential amendment in order to allow the case. The representative agreed with the Examiner's proposal and authorization has given for an Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)